


Organizational Results Research Report

November 2007

OR08.009

# Qualitative Assessment of Customer Satisfaction in the Kansas City Area



Prepared by Heartland  
Market Research and  
Missouri Department  
of Transportation

**FINAL REPORT**

RI07-009

**Qualitative Assessment of Factors Related to  
Customer Satisfaction with MoDOT  
in the Kansas City Area**

Prepared for the  
Missouri Department of Transportation  
Organizational Results

by  
Lance Gentry, Ph.D.  
Heartland Market Research, LLC

**November 2007**

The opinions, findings and conclusions expressed in this report are those of the principle investigators and the Missouri Department of Transportation. They are not necessarily those of the U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration. This report does not constitute a standard, specification or regulation.



## Table of Contents

Executive Summary .....	1
Background .....	3
Five Focus Groups .....	3
Research Questions .....	5
Q1. Does Location Matter?.....	5
Q2. Does the Proximity of Kansas Matter? .....	5
Q3. Are People Confusing City, County, and State Roads? .....	5
Q4. Have Respondents Been Influenced by Specific Projects?.....	6
Q5. What is the Impact of Recent Construction? .....	7
Q6. Have People Noticed Differences in Road Quality in Their Area? .....	7
Q7. How Has the Media Portrayed MoDOT? .....	8
Q8. Have They Had Personal Contact with MoDOT? .....	8
Q9. What Do People Like about MoDOT and the Road System?.....	9
Q10. What Do People Dislike about MoDOT and the Road System? .....	12
Q11. What are Citizen’s Expectations of MoDOT? .....	14
Q12. If MoDOT Could Make One Change that Would Make You More Satisfied with MoDOT, What Would It Be? .....	15
Addition Focus Group Notes .....	17
Recommended Questions for Survey.....	19



## Executive Summary

Five focus groups were selected to obtain a broad representation of views from District Four (Kansas City Area) residents. The qualitative results obtained from these focus groups will aid in the development of a quantitative research study that will provide statistical evidence for supporting or eliminating reasons for the satisfaction discrepancy between District Four residents and other Missouri residents.

While the personalities of the five groups were quite distinct from each other excepting a great similarity between the two rural groups, two points were almost universal among all five focus groups.

- Almost every person thought Kansas roads were better than Missouri roads. The few who did not hold this opinion did not disagree with it, they just were not familiar with Kansas roads.
- Very few people understood which roads were the responsibility of MoDOT and which roads were not. Many people thought MoDOT was responsible for all roads in Missouri. Each member of the "inner city" focus group believed MoDOT was responsible for every road in Kansas City.

This research also identified other factors that potentially affected how satisfied District Four residents were with MoDOT. These factors include a perception that MoDOT initiated too many simultaneous projects in close proximity, changes in area road quality, and how the media portrayed MoDOT. In addition, the inner city group had some unique factors that could be influencing how satisfied they were with MoDOT.

The inner city group felt that their community was largely ignored by MoDOT. They thought MoDOT should hire more people from within the inner city. However, their comments were not negative. The entire inner city group was very appreciative of MoDOT's efforts to reach out to them via the focus group and this study positively affected the group's perception of MoDOT. This was generally true of all the groups, but the affect was noticeably stronger with the inner city group.



Another consistent finding of this research is that Missourians have very high expectations of MoDOT. They feel MoDOT fails to meet their expectations when it takes too long, in their perspective, for needed road improvements to be made. In addition, Missourians believe they pay more in total state taxes than Kansans, yet believe Kansas roads are better. While much of this is outside of MoDOT's control, it appears to be a factor in forming Missourian opinion about MoDOT.

If some or all of these findings are supported by the quantitative research, they may explain much of the variance between District Four and the other Districts.

Another important finding that may impact future satisfaction with MoDOT came from the rural groups. Both the satisfied and dissatisfied rural focus group participants had the strong belief that MoDOT used Amendment 3 money to tackle the more populated areas first. As far as they are concerned, the clock is ticking for MoDOT to start substantial efforts to make the rural roads safer and better. If this is done, the dissatisfied folks said they would then be satisfied.

Likewise, if it is not done soon, the rural people who are currently satisfied with MoDOT will start becoming dissatisfied. According to these findings, the main difference between the rural dissatisfied and the rural satisfied is their level of patience for when MoDOT will start making the rural roads a priority.

Drawing upon the focus group research, discussions were then held with MoDOT stakeholders to determine what questions should be asked of citizens during the quantitative research phase. A copy of the proposed questionnaire appears at the end of this report.



## Background

Historically, residents in District Four have rated MoDOT lower than residents in other Districts for reasons that remain uncertain. In discussions held between MoDOT personnel from District Four, MoDOT's Central Office, and Heartland Market Research, several research questions were raised as possible explanations. It was determined to conduct focus groups to gain input that would help support or dismiss these possibilities. These qualitative results will aid in the development of a quantitative research study that will provide statistical evidence for supporting or eliminating reasons for the satisfaction discrepancy between District Four residents and other Missouri residents. This quantitative study will utilize a survey which will be largely based upon the results of this focus group study project.

### *Five Focus Groups*

In collaboration with the MoDOT stakeholders, it was decided to conduct focus groups from five distinct parts of the District. As shown in Figure 1, these parts were selected to help obtain a representative sample of opinions from District Four residents.

Nickname	Location	Date	Participants
Rural Group 1	Ray County Library	August 23	4
Rural Group 2	Henry County Library	August 24	5
Suburban	Lee's Summit (D4 building)	September 13	6
Urban	Midwestern Baptist Theological Seminary	September 14	4
Inner City	Bruce Watkins Cultural Center	September 15	4



Figure 1: Location of Five Focus Groups



## Research Questions

In discussions held between MoDOT personnel from District Four, MoDOT's Central Office, and Heartland Market Research, multiple research questions were raised as possible explanations as to why residents in District Four have historically rated MoDOT lower than residents in other Districts. The primary purpose of the focus groups was to gain input that would help support or dismiss these possibilities for consideration in the mail survey.

### ***Q1. Does Location Matter?***

Location seemed to be a significant factor for almost every response. The two rural groups (Richmond and Clinton) had similar attitudes and expressed the concern that the rural roads were not receiving the attention they needed. The other three groups were more cynical, yet different from each other. For example, the inner city group thought MoDOT should hire more people, especially minorities, to complete the projects while the Suburban group (Lee's Summit) thought MoDOT should use more subcontractors. Since location was so closely tied to response variance, it is recommended that the survey ask for both the residential and work zip code of the respondents.

### ***Q2. Does the Proximity of Kansas Matter?***

Yes - This was one of two strongest findings from the five focus group discussions. Almost every person thought Kansas roads were better than Missouri roads. The few who did not hold this opinion did not disagree with it, they just were not familiar with Kansas roads. Based upon these results, it is highly recommended that this issue be asked in the survey.

### ***Q3. Are People Confusing City, County, and State Roads?***

Yes – This was the other very strong finding from the five focus groups. Very few people understood which roads were the responsibility of MoDOT and which roads were not. Many people thought MoDOT was responsible for all roads in Missouri. The "inner city" focus group believed MoDOT was responsible for the roads in Kansas City. Based upon these results, it is highly recommended that this issue be asked in the survey.



#### ***Q4. Have Respondents Been Influenced by Specific Projects?***

This question was asked because of the hypothesis that residents still remembered a few MoDOT projects from years ago that ran into major problems. This did not appear to be the case. With two exceptions, the only negative feelings toward projects were projects that had not taken place. In other words, people were frustrated by the poor conditions of many roads that needed projects. However, there were two exceptions to this.

The first exception came from the two Kansas City groups. While they did not complain about a single project, they had vast complaints about the organization of a series of projects. From their perspective, the projects took place with no consideration of other projects. If project A caused a traffic detour, it seemed that Project B then appeared in the middle of the detour. The Kansas City residents were very concerned about having too many simultaneous projects in close proximity to each other.

The second exception came from the Suburban group (Lee's Summit) concerning the Triangle construction project. The group consensus was that the original design was very flawed and all were cynical about the effectiveness of the current project. Some thought there would be no change after project was finished and others thought that there would only be a minor improvement.

The results from the five focus groups did not find any support for the hypothesis that previous project history was impacting respondents' satisfaction with MoDOT. However, if there is space on the survey, it may be useful to ask about people's perceptions of too many projects in close proximity.



***Q5. What is the Impact of Recent Construction?***

Other than the previously described desire for better planning of multiple simultaneous projects, the impact of recent construction was almost completely positive. The two exceptions came from the same individual. He thought that MoDOT was skimping money by installing wire guards on the interstates instead of guard rails and that installing mile markers every tenth of a mile was a waste of time and money.

All the other participants were glad to see the improvements in their roads, including the rural groups who were glad Missouri was investing in their roads again even if the rural roads had not yet benefitted from Amendment 3.

***Q6. Have People Noticed Differences in Road Quality in Their Area?***

While Amendment 3 was not directly asked as part of this question, all of the groups brought this into their answer. All five groups thought the roads were in poor shape before the passage of Amendment 3. After the passage of Amendment 3, the results of this question varied with group location. While all groups could think of at least one recent project in their driving area, the responses of the two rural districts were much different than the response from the other three groups. The members of the two rural groups thought road quality in their area had continued to decline since Amendment 3 passed, but most of them were not upset by this. They believed that MoDOT was simply prioritizing and hitting the busiest roads first and these people confidently expected that MoDOT would start working on the rural roads soon now that they have had years to work on the urban roads and interstates. The other three groups had definitely noticed a big improvement in many roads since the passage of Amendment 3. Interestingly enough, a few well-traveled folks from these other three groups echoed the concern that the rural roads needed improvement.

Despite a few exceptions (e.g. a rural respondent who said he was satisfied with MoDOT despite believing local rural roads were declining in quality while MoDOT concentrated on the urban areas), there appeared to be a correlation between noticing positive changes in area road quality and satisfaction with MoDOT. It is recommended that this be part of the survey.



***Q7. How Has the Media Portrayed MoDOT?***

Most respondents thought the media portrayal of MoDOT tended to neutral or positive, when the respondents were aware of media coverage other than traffic updates. However, this was the one case where the rural groups were more cynical than the other three groups. The rural groups tended to believe that the media usually portrayed MoDOT in a negative light. One explanation for this finding is that the average age of the rural groups appeared to be much older than the average age of the other groups and these were the people who tended to watch the evening television news on a regular basis. For example, in the Suburban group, only one person understood that MoDOT was ever in the news other than traffic reports.

Based upon the results of the focus group, it is recommended that this issue be addressed in the survey via another means. Instead of asking how MoDOT is portrayed in the media, we should ask people how often they watch the evening local news and how often they read the local paper. We can then analyze the results for positive or negative correlations.

***Q8. Have They Had Personal Contact with MoDOT?***

The reasoning behind this question was to understand how personal contact with MoDOT may have influenced a person's satisfaction with MoDOT.

Only two people in the focus groups had had personal contact with MoDOT. One of these was direct – a woman had been aided by MoDOT's Motorist Assist program. She thought the program was great but wished there was a way to call the operators. The second contact was indirect. One of the men had previous done some road construction work for a firm that had a MoDOT contract. Both had positive things to say about MoDOT, but thought there was room for improvement. In this, they reflected the general consensus of the five groups.

Given that the two people who had contact with MoDOT had similar impressions of MoDOT as the other respondents and given that asking about the type of contact would consume much of the survey space, it is recommended that this question not be on the survey.



### ***Q9. What Do People Like about MoDOT and the Road System?***

Questions 9 and 10 were asked via two open ended questions on a paper survey completed by respondents before the focus group started. This was done to ensure their answers were not affected by the focus group discussion. Some people were uncomfortable with writing and did not elect to participate in this part of the research. Others elected only to answer either the “Like” or “Dislike” question and skipped the other one. The results of those that participated have been segmented by focus group.

#### **Rural Group 1 (Ray County)**

*I know there are many roads and probably never enough money or people to take care of them properly. Therein lies the problem. Our highways other than large cities need much improvement and this seems to never get done. All our rural state roads are very much in need of resurfacing. I do think MoDOT does a super job in inclement weather clearing the highways in a timely manner. Also mowing crews seem to keep up with keeping highways mowed and cleaned of trash. All in all I think they do a good job but much more attention is needed to make our rural roads safer by having new surfaces.*

*I appreciate the control of the traffic. I have never been stopped except for license checks. My husband drives a truck all over the roads and he has always been treated well. We have our own truck and try to keep up with the regulations and abide by the rules.*

*I like the way they kept 210 up so far. They need to remember 10, it needs work and upkeep. I travel both. During the winter months, 10 could be better. There should be more road sign especially for deer. The white strip for fog.*

*Road workers tend to be very courteous, even friendly. Most arterial roads are in outstanding shape. There doesn't seem to be much traffic congestion. I am a big fan of our metro highway system. Speed limits are always very fair. Not an overabundant number of traffic lights. Well marked hazards/obstructions (e.g., mowers, men at work, etc.). Well marked signage - I rarely need to use directions. For all the hills down here, rarely do you need to over incline.*



**Rural Group 2 (Henry County)**

*I think MoDOT is starting to do a very good job on the roads. I think the cable system is good. Equipment looks nice.*

*Driving the winter months - they seem to clear the roads pretty early after a snow/ice storm. The safety of the workers seems to be a top priority. That's very important to me. The new trucks with the "bumper" system is good. The roads seem to be maintained - mowing - signs visible - turn lanes marked well.*

*They are always striving to improve our roadways.*

*Four-laning of roads that traveled more - such as 7 Highway and 13 South of Clinton.*

**Suburban Group (Lee's Summit)**

*Don't know a whole lot about MoDOT except for what I see on the roads.*

*Depending on condition of roads, repairs are done in a reasonable amount of time.*

**Urban Group (Kansas City)**

*The interstates and interstate highways seem to carry the most importance and I feel are well maintained. If there is roadway work being performed, signs indicating the roadwork are put out well in advance and precautionary warnings about workers are posted.*

*Since I am fairly new to the State of Missouri, I cannot judge too highly on the road system. The road system around my apartment in Northern Kansas City is satisfying. I rarely have to worry about traffic conditions or road construction. I do have a concern for some roads that run through main streets. Most of them branch off from one lane to two lanes frequently, but I have noticed they are working on adding more lanes to these roads.*



*In being 18 I don't have very much experience with driving on many different road conditions. Though I do have enough to say that the thing I like the most about the MoDOT road system is the protection they give their employees by enforcing speed limits that are very appropriate for the working condition. Also with stricter speed limits came a unique idea with doubling the ticket fine. Aside from workers' conditions, the MoDOT road system has also done a considerably great job in using its resources/materials wisely.*

*I like the progress that MoDOT has been making on the roads in Missouri. I can see on a daily basis where the funds that were provided are being used in the city. I like that the roads are more smooth and less bumpy around the city. Although I see the changes in the roads in the city, there are some areas in rural areas of Missouri that need work. Overall, I like to think that in the future most all roads in Missouri will be as good or better than in Kansas.*

### **Inner City Group (Kansas City)**

*I like the fact that MoDOT cares about the community enough to ask how we feel about the road and other conditions and are trying to change them. Thanks.*

*At least they are reaching out to the community. And getting our opinion.*

*The road conditions have been improving, but the infrastructure is still under a lot of construction so that means a lot of detours and a lot of slow afternoon traffic.*



**Q10. What Do People Dislike about MoDOT and the Road System?****Rural Group 1 (Ray County)**

*I know the costs are high to maintain roads and bridges, but know this is very important. I do not know of anything I am dissatisfied with.*

*Not wide enough shoulders on two-lane highways. Less populated areas' roads are less impressive than others. Need four-lane highways in some points (MO-13). Lots of roadkill. Semi Trucks. Other drivers in general. More warning on sharp turns.*

**Rural Group 2 (Henry County)**

*Well MoDOT down here doesn't do as good of a job as I would like especially in the past years especially in Henry County, but I will say that it has gotten better over the past few years in other places such as Kansas City area. For example, the last snow storm we had in this area. I'd admit that it was bad, but it took about three days to clear a main road that I live on. There were wrecks and power outages everywhere. Let's just say they took their time in our area.*

*It took enough time to start some resurfacing of roads.*

*Some of the roads aren't fixed in a timely manner. Potholes, new drain lines, things like that, aren't finished in a timely manner. Some busy roads need more shoulder area to be safe when they have to pull off in case of emergency.*

*Rough roads. Narrow county highways. Bridges in need of repair, especially in rural areas. Highway 13 between Clinton and Warrensburg. Bridge over Big Creek at Blairstown badly needs repairs - rebar is very visible and concrete on edges is gone. A [O? - difficulty in transcribing handwriting] Highway in Henry County is narrow, rough, the edges are broken off. When they do repair it, it is spotty - only in the worst places.*



**Suburban Group (Lee's Summit)**

*I feel that MoDOT needs to step up on the roads.*

*I dislike MoDOT because it seems we never finish.*

*Don't know a lot about MoDOT.*

*A lot of the side roads do not get much repair.*

**Urban Group (Kansas City)**

*The thing I would have to say I dislike the most about the MoDOT road system is the amount of construction done in one place - an example being 169 and I-29. By replacing longer distanced projects with shorter distanced ones, based off of a multiple of project, it can arguably take stress off the drivers thus creating less work accidents.*

*The older highways seem to take a large backstreet to maintenance (Highway 24 in particular). For the amount of vehicles on those roadways, I don't believe the older highways, especially within Kansas City limits. These roadway surfaces are usually rough and not smooth. Potholes don't seem to be filled quickly.*

*I dislike the progress in the rural areas of Missouri. I see the changes in the urban and suburban areas throughout Missouri, but the other rural areas need some work. I dislike the time the progress is taking, but will be patient in hopes that the future roads of Missouri are as good as in Kansas.*

**Inner City Group (Kansas City)**

*Can't complain, they're trying to change things slowly but surely.*

*Because the potholes are terrible. Especially in the city. KCMO.*



***Q11. What are Citizen's Expectations of MoDOT?***

Expectations are very high. In several groups people stated that MoDOT was supposed to be Missouri's transportation expert. This indicated that MoDOT's marketing efforts are being received, and it shows that MoDOT's message is raising expectations. Not only are people confused about what roads for which MoDOT is responsible – as discussed in Question 3 – many people believe MoDOT is responsible for the bus system, the train system, and all other transportation in Missouri. In focus group discussions where more knowledgeable participants explained MoDOT typically handled interstates and state highways, a few people expressed the desire that MoDOT should be put in charge of all public roads in Missouri. While this was not a majority opinion, it was interesting that no one disagreed with it either.

Missourians have very high expectations of MoDOT. They feel MoDOT fails to meet their expectations when it takes too long, in their perspective, for needed road improvements to be made. In addition, Missourians believe they pay more in state taxes than Kansans, yet believe Kansas roads are better.



***Q12. If MoDOT Could Make One Change that Would Make You More Satisfied with MoDOT, What Would It Be?***

At the close of each focus group, all participants were individually asked this question. There was some group think, where some respondents just agreed with a previous speaker, this seemed to be out of genuine agreement rather than mental laziness. In each focus group, most of the respondents were eager to participate and grateful for the opportunity to share their opinions.

**Rural Group 1 (Ray County)**

*More cable barriers – I've about died many times just going forty miles per hour on these rural roads.*

*Every exit needs green signs so older folks can easily determine which roads are which.*

*Make rural roads safer.*

*Build decent shoulders on the roads, the shoulders are 10 are traps.*

**Rural Group 2 (Henry County)**

*Have MoDOT take over all the roads in Missouri. [This was then echoed twice.]*

*I3 needs to be four-lane the entire way.*

*We have a lot of semi traffic between Kansas City and Springfield and some of the roads are not safe with so many semis on the road.*

*MoDOT needs to better maintain rural roads. I guess they had to do the big cities first, but we expect them to get to the rural highways soon.*



**Suburban Group (Lee's Summit)**

*Better planning of multiple projects at once. [A second respondent agreed, stating] It appears that MoDOT fixes things in random order with no consideration of traffic patterns.*

*Do more work at night.*

*On the new electronic signs, when you say "Accident Ahead", tell me the mile marker. Right now it is just annoying – I don't know if I should get off now or if I can make it to my exit in five more miles. [Another person then agreed with this, asking for more details on the signs.]*

*Use money more wisely, don't ever ask us for more taxes, we pay more than Kansans and their roads are better.*

**Urban Group (Kansas City)**

*Have a plan for new projects [his concern was that too many simultaneous projects were in close proximity to each other]*

*Spread out work [concern was that MoDOT should help hire more people throughout Missouri – did not like big machines that did the work of many men, wanted more people hired]*

*No idea*

*Non interstate roads need more attention.*

**Inner City Group (Kansas City)**

*Divert funds to inner city interstates and highways, I think too much is spent on making the outskirts look nice while the inner city needs real work.*

*Offer more jobs to inner city people, let them make \$15 per hour for work.*

*Hire more inner city people.*

*Some of the exits and on-ramps are dangerous and need immediate attention such as Van Brount and 70/27<sup>th</sup> Street.*



## **Addition Focus Group Notes**

This section is to cover a few points that may be of interest to MoDOT, but did not fit within the research question structure.

### **Rural Groups**

The biggest surprise was in future expectations. Almost everyone had the impression that MoDOT was concentrating on the highly populated areas (big cities and interstates) after the passage of Amendment 3. Those who were satisfied with MoDOT were more patient about this than those who were dissatisfied. However, all of the participants expected MoDOT to start working on rural roads in the near future as MoDOT finished with the urban areas and interstates. If this is not done in the next few years, the focus group results strongly suggest that even those who are currently satisfied with MoDOT will start moving over to the dissatisfied segment. Likewise, those who were currently dissatisfied stated that they would be satisfied when MoDOT paid attention to rural roads.

### **Suburban Group (Lee's Summit)**

This group was a very blue-collar group. They were the least likely to write anything, but very willing to share their opinions verbally. To the extent that focus groups may be representative, this was an example of how many suburban blue-collar workers think about MoDOT, but it is likely that most white-collar workers would have a different perspective. No one in the group had any opinion at all if MoDOT received enough money to perform its duties, but several wondered if District Four was receiving its fair share.

### **Urban Group (Kansas City)**

This was the youngest of the five groups. All of the younger participants thought that MoDOT was responsible for all roads in Missouri and the one older participant was not sure about it. The only mention of MoDOT in the media they could remember was in PSAs – the recent ad about the safety officer who died really made an impact, but several of the younger members doubted it was true. Toward the end of the focus group, the veracity of the PSA was confirmed by the moderator and the skeptics then said that made the ad even more powerful.



### **Inner City Group (Kansas City)**

By design this group consisted only of African-Americans. The group was strongly and positively affected by the fact that MoDOT had commissioned the focus groups and was interested in their opinion. While the purpose of the focus groups was to obtain feedback from Missourians about MoDOT, the exercise also created some MoDOT fans in the process. This was true of all the groups in general, but the impact was strongest with the inner city group which feels they are routinely ignored by the rest of Missouri. This group was completely unaware of MoDOT's 1-888-ASK-MODOT campaign and several said that they would be calling to at least find out who to call about their local roads if MoDOT was not responsible for them.



## **Recommended Questions for Survey**

Based upon the focus group research findings and follow-up discussions with the MoDOT stakeholders, a survey was developed and is recommended for the next phase of the research project, the quantitative assessment.

MoDOT's logo should not be used on the survey to comply with MoDOT's intent to follow the same methodology as the annual phone survey other than the obvious change in survey instrument. Just as the phone survey operators assured participants that the survey was being conducted by an independent marketing agency and it is recommended that this paper survey be accompanied by a cover letter that provides the participants with the same assurance.

Images of the proposed survey appear on the following two pages.





**HEARTLAND**  
MARKET RESEARCH LLC

## Customer Survey about MoDOT


Please complete and return this survey

### MARKING INSTRUCTIONS

- Use pencil or a blue or black ink pen.
- Do not use pens with ink that soaks through the paper.
- Make solid marks that fill the response completely.
- Make no stray marks on this form.

CORRECT: INCORRECT:

#### Heartland Market Research Use Only



1. How satisfied are you with the job that the Missouri Department of Transportation (MoDOT) is doing? Would you say you are very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, somewhat dissatisfied, or very dissatisfied with MoDOT?

- very satisfied     somewhat satisfied     somewhat dissatisfied     very dissatisfied     no opinion

2. For what roads do you think MoDOT is responsible? Please answer what you believe to be true.

- I am not sure  
 All roads in Missouri  
 All interstate, major highway, and minor highway roads in Missouri  
 All interstate and major highway roads in Missouri  
 All interstate roads in Missouri

3. How do you think Missouri's roads and bridges compare with those in Kansas? Would you say Missouri's roads and bridges are much better, somewhat better, the same, somewhat worse, or much worse than the roads and bridges in Kansas?

- I am not that familiar with Kansas     much better     somewhat better     much worse  
 somewhat worse     the same     no opinion

4. Please tell us how satisfied you are with how MoDOT handles the following parts of road and bridge construction projects. For each part, would you say you are very satisfied, satisfied, dissatisfied, or very dissatisfied with MoDOT?

How satisfied are you with...	very satisfied	satisfied	dissatisfied	very dissatisfied	no opinion
the total time it takes to finish a construction project	<input type="radio"/>				
how safe you feel while riding through a work zone	<input type="radio"/>				
the availability of alternative routes around construction	<input type="radio"/>				
the project coordination with other construction	<input type="radio"/>				
the amount of time you spend waiting in the work zone	<input type="radio"/>				
your opportunity for input on construction projects	<input type="radio"/>				
how MoDOT selects which projects get built	<input type="radio"/>				
the amount of notice you receive for traffic changes or road/bridge closures	<input type="radio"/>				

5. We are interested in this information so MoDOT will know how to better communicate with you. How often do you usually read, watch, and/or listen to the following? Pick the option that fits best.

	Daily	Weekly	Monthly	Less Than Monthly	Never
local television news	<input type="radio"/>				
national television news	<input type="radio"/>				
radio news	<input type="radio"/>				
local newspaper	<input type="radio"/>				
national	<input type="radio"/>				
news from the internet	<input type="radio"/>				
MoDOT's web site	<input type="radio"/>				



 **HEARTLAND**  
MARKET RESEARCH LLC

## Customer Survey about MoDOT, Page 2

*Please complete and return this survey*

6. Not counting where you live, what is the zip code of where you drive the most? For most people this will be your work zip code.

7. What could MoDOT do to make you more satisfied with the job that they are doing? (Please keep your comments within the thick red lines)

Zip Code

*(The form includes a large grid area for writing answers, a red arrow pointing from question 6 to the zip code field, and a thick red border around the main grid area.)*



**Missouri Department of Transportation**  
**Organizational Results**  
P. O. Box 270  
Jefferson City, MO 65102

**573.526.4335**  
**1 888 ASK MODOT**  
**[innovation@modot.mo.gov](mailto:innovation@modot.mo.gov)**